Adult/Geriatric Drug Therapy 2020

Pharmacologic Management of Difficult Lipid Cases

Savitha Subramanian. MD

Objectives for Learning Outcomes:

- 1. To identify and treat individuals with high cardiovascular risk.
- 2. To appropriately use non-statin cholesterol lowering medications.
- 3. To identify the role of triglycerides in cardiovascular risk.

PHARMACOLOGIC MANAGEMENT OF DIFFICULT LIPID CASES

Savitha Subramanian MD Division of Metabolism, Endocrinology and Nutrition Adult/Geriatric Drug Therapy, UW CNE June 3, 2020 subrama@uw.edu

Nothing to disclose

Cases

- 1. To identify and treat individuals with high cardiovascular risk
- 2. To appropriately use non-statin cholesterol lowering medications
- $\ensuremath{\scriptscriptstyle 3.}$ $\ensuremath{$ To identify the role of triglycerides in cardiovascular risk

Some common lipid terminology

- TG = triglycerides
- LDL = low density lipoprotein
- · HDL = high density lipoprotein
- · ASCVD = atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease
- · FH = familial hypercholesterolemia

Case 1: Hypercholesterolemia: LDL-C > 190 mg/dL

- · 67 year old woman presents for a routine visit
- She has had elevated LDL-C ranging between 170-220mg/dL known since age 45
 Resistant to taking statin- took a statin ~15 years ago and developed unclear side
- effects
- Takes no medication
- Very active lifestyle (running, pilates) and very clean eating
- Family history:
- father died of MI at age 50, paternal uncle had MI at age 41 (alive and s/p CABG); paternal cousin – MI at 49, alive
- 1 sister age 65, healthy without ASCVD, 3 adult children are reportedly healthy
- Physical exam: BP 128/76; BMI 21.6 kg/m², anxious appearing woman, otherwise unremarkable

Case 1: Hypercholesterolemia: LDL-C > 190 mg/dL

Labs- (9 months prior)

- Total cholesterol 289; TG 75; HDL 78; <u>LDL 196 mg/dL</u>
- Previous LDL-C values have ranged 174-210 mg/dL

On day of visit (fasting)

- Total cholesterol 295; TG 90; HDL 72; <u>LDL 205 mg/dL</u>
- ALT 20; creatinine 0.8; TSH 3.2; A1C 5.5%

Does she need therapy?

Case 5: Hypercholesterolemia : LDL-C > 190 mg/dL

- In addition to counseling her on her cardiovascular risk, the next best step in management of her lipids?
- 1. A moderate intensity statin should be prescribed
- 2. A high intensity statin should be prescribed
- 3. Try bempedoic acid what?
- 4. Refer to lipid specialist
- 5. Agree with her choice of no treatment and document discussion in chart

Case 1: Hypercholesterolemia : LDL-C > 190 mg/dL

· What does she have?

Familial hypercholesterolemia (FH)

- · Autosomal dominant inheritance
- 1 in 250-500 heterozygous form
- Defects in LDL receptor
- · Premature heart disease
- Tendon xanthomas in 65-70%
 Total cholesterol >300 mg/dL , LDL
- >200mg/dL • Aggressive cholesterol lowering
- More than one cholesterol lowering drug necessary



2018 ACC/AHA Multisociety guidelines for cholesterol lowering

- Statins are first line therapy for LDL-C lowering to decrease ASCVD risk
 Statins inhibit the rate limiting enzyme within the liver cell
 One of the most widely utilized and most potent of drugs
- · In all individuals, emphasize a heart-healthy lifestyle across the life course
- Statins can be used in varying intensities low, moderate or high



Grundy SM, Stone N. Ann Intern Med 2019 June

Statin intolerance and/or aversion

- <u>Definition</u>: inability to use statins for lipid lowering due to significant symptoms that can be temporally associated to the initiation and/or dose escalation of statins
- · Limits many patients from achieving LDL-C goals
- 10-25% patients in clinical practice report statin intolerance (in clinical trials <5%!)
- · Muscle side effects are the most commonly reported symptom

1. Bays H Am J Cardiol 2006; 2. Stroes ES 2015 Eur Heart J

Statin associated muscle symptoms: evaluation and management • Myalgias occur within 4 weeks of initiation, but sometimes much later

- Symmetric bilateral thighs, calves, upper proximal muscles
- Improves upon withdrawal
- Same symptoms will recur after rechallenge
- 40-60% can tolerate the same or another statin at the same or different dose
 Washout period is mandatory
- Can use alternative statin regimens
- · Re-challenge can be a challenge
- 1. Cardiovascular benefits well established
- 2. Statins are safe medicines in use for over 30 years
- 3. Serious muscle injury is very rare
- 4. Aches and pains are very common in middle aged and older individuals
- 5. Statins as a common cause of muscle symptom is a myth perpetuated on the internet and other media



When LDL lowering is not low enough or inadequate



- Inherited/genetic disorders
- Resistance/aversion to therapy
- Nonadherence
- · Adverse effects from statins intolerance

Additional and/or new drug therapies are necessary

Don't forget - ezetimibe

- · A small molecule drug, taken orally
- Inhibits cholesterol absorption in the small intestine
 It is well tolerated with very few side effects

· LDL-C lowering:

18% as monotherapy
Additive effect with statins - 20-25% LDL-C lowering



Cardiovascular outcome with ezetimibe: IMPROVE-IT study

- · Patients after an acute coronary syndrome
- · Randomized to simvastatin 40mg vs simvastatin + ezetimibe 10mg
- Cardiovascular benefit after 7 years of study



Ezetimibe use is infrequent

- Modest LDL-C-lowering efficacy versus statins (but some patients have large response)
- IMPROVE-IT showed only modest CV reduction (6%)
- · FDA did NOT give ezetimibe indication for CV reduction
- Remained branded after most statins were generic (until 10/16)
- ${}_{\circ}$ Remained expensive ~1 ${}^{1\!\!/}_{2}$ years after going generic (now ~\$15-20 /month)
- Ezetimibe use only ~5% in recent trials of PCSK9 inhibitors

When to use ezetimibe?

- · In patients who have unacceptable side effects on statins
- · Severe primary hypercholesterolemia- familial hypercholesterolemia (FH)
- $\,^\circ$ Those who have insufficient reduction in LDL-C on maximum tolerated statin dose
- · (There are no CV outcomes trials of ezetimibe alone)

Case : Hypercholesterolemia : LDL-C > 190 mg/dL

Date	TC	TG	HDL	LDL	treatment
First visit	295	89	72	205	Rosuvastatin 2.5mg three times a week (tolerated well but could not take 2.5mg daily)
3 months later	240	72	78	148	Add ezetimibe 10mg daily
4 months later	170	80	72	84	

Alternative scenarios

- If this was a 68 y/o with on-treatment LDL-C 84 mg/dL and known ASCVD (CAD s/p PTCA and stenting), hypertension
- What about an 80 y/o on treatment, with LDL-C of 84mg/dL?

Very High-Risk for F	uture ASCVD Events*
ble 4	
Major AS	CVD Events
Recent acute coronary syndrome (within the p	ast 12 months)
History of myocardial infarction (other than re-	cent acute coronary syndrome event listed above)
History of ischemic stroke	
Symptomatic peripheral arterial disease (histo or previous revascularization or amputation)	ry of claudication with ankle brachial index <0.85,
High-Risi	Conditions
Age ≥65 years	
Heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia	
History of prior coronary artery bypass surgery	or PCI outside of the major ASCVD event(s)
Diabetes Mellitus	
Hypertension	
Chronic kidney disease (eGFR 15-59 mL/min	(1.73 m²)
Current smoking	
Persistently elevated LDL-C (LDL-C \ge 100 mg/s statin therapy and ezetimibe	dL (>2.6 mmol/L)) despite maximally tolerated
History of congestive heart failure	
kry High Risk includes a history of multiple major ASCID e	vents or one major ASCVD event and multiple high-risk condition

Newer agents		

How does LDL and the LDL Receptor work?

· LDL receptors on the hepatocyte surface bind to LDL

· Complex is endocytosed

4.

5.

therapy

· LDL receptor is recycled

· PCSK9 binds with LDL receptor and prevents its recycling

 Gain-of-function mutations → severe hypercholesterolemia



Stein EA New Engl J Med 2012; Blom Duet al .N Engl J Med. 2014, Rader DJ 2016.

Stein EA. PCSK9 Forun





PCSK9 inhibitors: more things we know

- Cost and cumbersome insurance prior authorizations (improving as now available in pharmacies)
- No new onset diabetes
- · Ultra low LDL-C levels (single digit values)



New oral therapies for LDL-C lowering

Additional oral options that complement maximally tolerated lipid-lowering therapies are needed for patients unable to achieve adequate LDL-C lowering – Insufficient response to high-intensity statins

- Inability to take effective doses of statins due to tolerability issues
- -- Cost of injectables

Bempedoic acid (approved Feb 2020 as Nexletol)

- A once-daily oral, first-in-class, small-molecule drug developed for treatment of high cholesterol
- · A prodrug activated in liver
- Activated drug acts in the same cholesterol
- synthesis pathway as statins
- Inhibits ATP-citrate lyase, upstream of HMG-CoA reductase
- This decreases hepatic sterol synthesis, upregulates the LDL receptor, and reduces plasma LDL-C levels
- Activated bempedoic acid is not present in skeletal muscle







SAFETY

- AE profile of bempedoic acid was generally similar to that of placebo
- Nasopharyngitis, UTIs
- No new onset diabetes or worsening of diabetes
- No muscle side effects
- Gout and uric acid elevation (esp in those with pre-existing gout)

Goldberg A et al JAMA 2019 De

Bempedoic acid: current status

 Bempedoic acid 180mg once a day approved on 2-21-2020; bempedoic acid/ezetimibe combination approved 2-26-2020

Potential uses:

- · Patients on maximally tolerated statin dose above LDL-C thresholds
- Statin intolerant patients
- · Patients averse/intolerant to injectable therapies



Case 2: Type 2 diabetes and moderate hypertriglyceridemia

- A 64 year old male with T2D for 7 years; also has HTN and dyslipidemia; microalbuminuria
- $\,$ Takes metformin 1g twice daily, glargine 35 units qhs, Lisinopril 40mg, atorvastatin 40mg
- Last A1C 6.9%
- Lipids Total cholesterol 170 mg/dL, Triglycerides 280 mg/dL; LDL 90mg/dL; HDL 34 mg/dL, non-HDL-C 146 mg/dL

Case 2

- · The next best step in management of his triglyerides is:
- 1. Increase atorvastatin to 80mg
- 2. Start ezetimibe 10mg
- 3. Start fenofibrate 160mg
- 4. Start purified icosapent ethyl 4g
- 5. No change in therapy

 Would your choice for management of his lipids be different if he has known ASCVD?

Questions regarding moderate TG elevations

- 1. Should we be concerned about moderately elevated triglycerides? • Do elevated triglycerides increase ASCVD risk?
- 2. If so, how do we treat moderate hypertriglyceridemia?
- 3. Do triglyceride lowering medications decrease cardiovascular risk?

Questions

- 1. Should we be concerned about moderately elevated triglycerides? • Do elevated triglycerides increase ASCVD risk?
- 2. If so, how do we treat moderate hypertriglyceridemia?
- 3. Do triglyceride lowering medications decrease cardiovascular risk?

Moderate hypertriglyceridemia is very common

- 16% of US adults have TG >200mg/dl (2006)
- In 2015- 25.1% US adults have TG >150mg/dL
- Higher in certain populations
- Mexicans
- South Asians







Questions

- Should we be concerned about moderately elevated triglycerides?
 Do elevated triglycerides increase ASCVD risk?
- 2. If so, how do we treat moderate hypertriglyceridemia?
- 3. Do triglyceride lowering medications decrease cardiovascular risk?

1. First identify and treat secondary causes

- · Diabetes (untreated or chronic suboptimal glycemia)
- Hypothyroidism
- · Renal disease
- Drugs beta-blockers, thiazides, oral estrogen, glucocorticoids, atypical antipsychotics etc
- antipsycholics etc
- Excessive alcohol consumption
- Weight gain

Chait & Subramanian Endotext 2019

2. Lifestyle intervention and triglycerides

- Weight loss (5-10% ↓ body weight) → TG ↓ 25%, HDL-C ↑ 8%
 Dietary modification → TG ↓ 15% (Mediterranean diet)
- Healthy eating habits reduced caloric intake, avoidance processed carbohydrates, reduction of alcohol intake
- Avoidance of certain fad diets
- · Referral to a trained registered dietitian



3. What drugs lower triglycerides?

- Fibrates gemfibrozil, fenofibrate 30-50% ↓ TG
- Fish oil requires >2g for 30-50% ↓ TG
- Niacin crystalline, slow release; 30-45% ↓ TC-
- $\,$ Statins not first line for TG lowering; dose dependent modest TG lowering (25-40%)

Fibrate trials data are unrevealing so far for cardiovascular protection (gemfibrozil, fenofibrate)

- Fraught with inconsistencies due to patient selection (mostly male), lipid levels, treatment regimens
- 2 fibrate monotherapy trials showed cardiovascular benefit (gemfibrozil prestatin era)
- · Fibrate studies in patients with T2D showed no benefit
- Patients with TG >200 and HDL<34mg/dL derived most cardiovascular benefit
- Reduction in retinopathy and albuminuria in patients with diabetes
- Can be used for lowering pancreatitis risk for TG >1000mg/dL

What about marine or omega-3 fatty acids and cardiovascular disease?

- Lower TGs by 15-50%
- Observational studies fish oil consumption 1-2x a week associated with reduced risk of heart disease 40-60g oily fish/day = 0.2-1.0g/d of n-3 fatty acids
- · However RCT results conflicting! Persistent interest because of certain types of vascular benefit: Arrhythmias, heart failure, death from CHD
- Huge global market; widely variable purity and content of supplements and environmental concerns



Metanalysis of ome

- 10 trials with >77,000 in · At least 500 individuals ea Varying doses of EPA and
- No significant effect on nonfatal MI, stroke, reva events, or any major va
- No association of omeg cause mortality or cance

laws hume hume <th< th=""><th>in ICN 17-1.00 13-1.00</th></th<>	in ICN 17-1.00 13-1.00
Completified 101/01 101/01 011/01 0	17-1.00 15-1.00
addividuals Metadamputati inferitive 110.08 8184.08 ach (61% men) Amplitation 385.08 888.38 848.38 I DHA State State State 848.38	17-1.00 13-1.00
International (61% men) Growsy Nantdewner Mark 13810.0 13410.0 5380.0 I DHA None None None None None None	13-1-00
ach (61% men) an 305073 1383023 53603 I DHA Pris Notes Shift State 1888	0.100
DHA Priz	N-100
DHA 908e Status Status Status 1000	
Antonio Statuto Using	
	18-1.21)
Resource 117 (0.4) 108 (0.4) 1.07 (0.7)	16-1.510
of coronary death brancher 1404 1563 1587	7-1.43
87025 H302 1893	15-1,13)
ascularization	-
equilar evente	
Sculdi events. (2004y 2003) 204(3.3) 12013	10-1.07)
a 3 EA with all Receivery MS(27) 10(28) 052(27)	95-1.130
a-5 1 A with all-	14-104
er. ***	
Any major valcolar event \$930 (15.2) 6071 (15.6) 0.57 (0.5	19-1.01)
P+32	

REDUCE-IT 2019 - study of EPA in high risk individuals

- · Cardiovascular efficacy of purified EPA (icosapent ethyl, IPA); N=8179 followed for 4.9y
- Treated with statin
- · Had ASCVD or diabetes +1 other risk factor
- · Given 4g/d EPA or placebo (mineral oil)
- · Fasting TG 135-499mg/dL; LDL 75mg/dL
- Endpoint 5 point MACE (cardiovascular death, MI, stroke, coronary revascularization, or hospitalization for unstable angina)

DL Bhattetal. N Engl J Med 2019;380:11-22.

Finary End Pi	100 分 形 万 谷 40	30 Ha Pit 20-	and ratio, 0.001	0.73 (HPN	CLOBE-O Pacebo	D) Dageet sthyl
Polients with	\$ 20 H H = 0	20	1			1
			Tears sinc	e Randun	ipation	
No. at Bisk Placebo Accupent ethyl	4090 4089	3243 3787	1127 3401	280/ 2911	2347 2308	1358 1400
Primary ((HR- 0.75).83; P= (NNT= 21	CV er , 95% 0.000	ndpoi 6 CI 0 00000	nt - 11).68– 1).	7.2%	vs 22	.0%

REDUCE-IT: results

End Point	(N=4089)	Placebo (N=4090)	Hazari	d Ratio (95% CI)		P Value
	no. of patients a	(iii) trees (iii)				
Primary composite	705 (17.2)	901 (22.0)			0.75 (0.68-0.83)	<0.001
Key secondary composite	459 (11.2)	605 (14.8)	-8-		0.74 (0.65-0.83)	<0.001
Cardiovascular death or nonfatal myocardial infarction	392 (9.6)	507 (12.4)			0.75 (0.66-0.86)	-0.001
Fatal or nonfatal myocardial infarction	250 (6.1)	355 (8.7)			0.69 (0.58-0.81)	~0.001
Urgent or emergency revascularization	216 (5.3)	321 (7.8)			0.65 (0.55-0.78)	<0.001
Cardiovascular death	174 (4.3)	213 (5.2)			0.80 (0.66-0.98)	0.03
Hospitalization for unstable angina	108 (2.6)	157 (3.8)			0.68 (0.53-0.87)	0.002
Fatal or nonfatal stroke	98 (2.4)	134 (3.3)			0.72 (0.55-0.93)	0.01
Death from any cause, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke	549 (13.4)	690 (16.9)			0.77 (0.69-0.86)	<0.001
Death from any cause	274 (6.7)	310 (7.6)		+	0.87 (0.74-1.02)	-
			0.4 0.6 0.8 1	10 12 1.4		
		(Icosapent Ethyl	Placebo)	
		,	wellow	mender		
			~			

REDUCE-IT: summary of purified EPA study

- 1. Decreased cardiovascular endpoints by 25% - 20% CV death reduction
- 2. Decreased CV risk attained irrespective of TG levels (not related to attainment of normal TG levels)
- 3. Mechanism likely explained by metabolic effects beyond TG lowering · ? membrane stabilization effects, plaque stability or regression; decrease in inflammation
- 4. Appropriate patient selection (consider bleeding risk, atrial fibrillation)
- · Secondary prevention in select high risk individuals 5. Possibly highly cost effective

Case 2: Type 2 diabetes and moderate hypertriglyceridemia

- A 64 year old male with T2D for 7 years; also has HTN and dyslipidemia; microalbuminuria
- · Takes metformin 1g twice daily, glargine 35 units qhs, Lisinopril 40mg, atorvastatin 40mg
- Last A1C 6.9%
- Lipids Total cholesterol 170 mg/dL, Triglycerides 280 mg/dL; LDL 90mg/dL; HDL 34 mg/dL, non-HDL-C 146 mg/dL

What next?

- Fibrate?
- Marine fish oil?
- · Purified eicosapent ethyl (Vascepa) was prescribed
- · Too expensive for patient

Conclusions

- 1. LDL-C is primary target for ASCVD risk reduction
- 2. Statins are the mainstay of lipid lowering
- High risk people genetic, diabetes and ASCVD should be treated with intensive regimens
- $\ensuremath{\scriptscriptstyle 3.}$ Non-statin drugs may be used when ASCVD risk is very high
- Treatment choices should be based on clinician-patient risk discussions with shared decision-making, particularly for primary prevention treatment choices
- Elevated triglycerides are now considered a cardiovascular risk
 Data for fibrate therapy is unclear except in certain subgroups with high TG and low HDL-C
 Recent data on icosapene telly holds great promise for select HTG patients for secondary ASCVD prevention

· THANK YOU!

· UW Medicine Lipid Clinic at South Lake Union

Email: ssubrama@uw.edu





